arXiv:1510.06998v1 [math.RT] 23 Oct 2015

WHITTAKER MODULES FOR THE INSERTION-ELIMINATION LIE ALGEBRA

MATTHEW ONDRUS AND EMILIE WIESNER

Abstract. This paper addresses the representation theory of the insertion-elimination Lie algebra, a Lie algebra that can be naturally realized in terms of tree-inserting and tree-eliminating operations on rooted trees. The insertion-elimination algebra admits a triangular decomposition in the sense of Moody and Pianzola, and thus it is natural to define a Whittaker module corresponding to a given algebra homomorphism. Among other results, we show that the standard Whittaker modules are simple given certain constraints on the corresponding algebra homomorphism.

1. Introduction

The notion of an insertion-elimination algebra was introduced by Connes and Kreimer [3] as a way of describing the combinatorics of inserting and collapsing subgraphs of Feynman graphs. Connes and Kreimer investigated Hopf algebras related to rooted trees; the insertion-elimination algebra arises in relation to the dual algebra of one of these Hopf algebras. Further results focusing on the Hopf algebra perspective have been obtained by Hoffman [5] and Foissy [4]. The operations of insertion and elimination can be described in terms of rooted trees, and Sczesny [15] used this approach in studying the insertion-elimination Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, proving that $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple as a Lie algebra and giving some fundamental results about representations for $\mathfrak{g}$. (We also note the papers [7] and [8] by Mencattini and Kreimer, investigating the ladder insertion-elimination algebra. This Lie algebra was also inspired by [3] and can be characterized in terms of operations on trees; however the relations and resulting structure of the Lie algebra are quite different from the insertion-elimination algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ under consideration in this paper.) The insertion-elimination algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is infinite-dimensional and $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, and thus it bears some obvious similarity to the Virasoro algebra. In the case of the Virasoro algebra, however, the weight spaces from the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading are one-dimensional, whereas the weight spaces for the insertion-elimination algebra fail to have finite growth in the sense of [9].

The insertion-elimination algebra also possesses a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ in the sense of [10]. This structure suggests certain

MSC Numbers (2010): Primary: 17B10, 17B65; Secondary: 17B70
Keywords: insertion-elimination algebra, Whittaker module, rooted trees.

classes of representations as natural objects of study, including Verma modules (the subject of study in [15]) and Whittaker modules (the focus of this paper). Whittaker modules have been studied for a variety of Lie algebras with triangular decomposition (cf. [6], [11], [2], [16]). Additionally, Batra and Mazorchuk [1] have developed a general framework for studying Whittaker modules, which captures all Lie algebras with triangular decomposition.

This paper focuses on the search for simple Whittaker modules for the insertion-elimination algebra, a topic that cannot (currently) be resolved in the generality outlined in [1]. In particular, we investigate the standard Whittaker module $M_\eta := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\eta$, where $\mathbb{C}_\eta$ is the one-dimensional $\mathfrak{n}^+$-module on which $\mathfrak{n}^+$ acts by a homomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$. It is shown in [1] that M_η has a unique simple quotient $L(\mu, \eta)$ for each $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^+$. In Section 3 of this paper we show that, under certain restrictions on η, the standard module M_η itself is simple and thus is the only simple Whittaker module of "type η." We note that the simplicity of M_η provides further evidence for several conjectures made in [1] about the nature of simple Whittaker modules for Lie algebras with triangular decomposition. (See Conjectures 33, 34, and 40 in [1].) Batra and Mazorchuk [1] also establish a connection between Verma modules and standard Whittaker modules; in Section 4, we exploit this connection to argue that all Verma modules for the insertion-elimination algebra admit the same central character.

2. Definitions and notation

2.1. **Rooted Trees.** The insertion-elimination algebra is defined in terms of operations on rooted trees. Therefore, we first establish a variety of notation and terminology describing rooted trees. A *rooted tree* t is an undirected, cycle-free graph with a distinguished vertex or *root*, denoted $\mathsf{rt}(t)$. In this paper, rooted trees are displayed with the root at the top of the figure.

Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the set of all rooted trees. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $V(t)$ is the set of vertices of t, $E(t)$ the set of edges of t, and $|t|$ the cardinality of $V(t)$. For example, if t is the rooted tree , then $|t| = 6$ and $|E(t)| = 5$. The *root degree* of t, $\mathsf{rdeg}(t)$, is the number of edges incident on $\mathsf{rt}(t)$. The *depth* of t, $\mathsf{d}(t)$, is the maximum length (i.e. number of edges) of any simple path (i.e. a path with no repeated vertices) originating at $\mathsf{rt}(t)$. If $v \in V(t)$ is such that there is a path from $\mathsf{rt}(t)$ to v that has length $\mathsf{d}(t)$, then we say that v has maximal distance from the root of t. As an example, the depth of the following tree is 4, and there are two vertices that have maximal distance from the root.



For $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $v \in V(s)$, let $s \cup_v t$ denote the rooted tree obtained by joining the root of t to s at the vertex v via a single edge. We naturally identify s and t as subtrees of $s \cup_v t$, so that $V(s), V(t) \subseteq V(s \cup_v t)$ and $E(s), E(t) \subseteq E(s \cup_v t)$. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $e \in E(t)$, removing the edge e divides t into two rooted trees $R_e(t)$, the "root" subtree, and $P_e(t)$, the "branch" subtree, so that $t = R_e(t) \cup_v P_e(t)$ for some $v \in V(R_e(t))$. For $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{T}$, define

$$\alpha(t_1, t_2, t_3) = |\{e \in E(t_2) \mid R_e(t_2) = t_3,\ P_e(t_2) = t_1\}|$$
$$\beta(t_1, t_2, t_3) = |\{v \in V(t_3) \mid t_1 = t_3 \cup_v t_2\}|.$$

We call a multiset of rooted trees a *forest* and represent a forest two ways: as a collection $\{\!\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}\!\}$ where the $t_i \in \mathbb{T}$ are not necessarily distinct; and as an ordered pair (S, m), where $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is the set of rooted trees in the forest and $\mathsf{m} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{Z}$ gives the multiplicity of each tree in the forest. In particular, $\mathsf{m}(s) = 0$ if $s \notin S$, so we may regard S as the set of rooted trees having nonzero multiplicity in the forest. There is the following correspondence between the notations:

$$\mathbf{s} : (\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}, \mathsf{m}) \leftrightarrow \{\!\{\underbrace{s_1, \ldots, s_1}_{\mathsf{m}(s_1)}, \underbrace{s_2, \ldots, s_2}_{\mathsf{m}(s_2)}, \ldots, \underbrace{s_k, \ldots, s_k}_{\mathsf{m}(s_k)}\}\!\}. \tag{2.1}$$

For a forest $\mathbf{s} = (\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}, \mathsf{m}) = \{\!\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}\!\}$, define the *length* of $\mathbf{s}$

$$l(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{m}(s_i) = n;$$

and the *order* of $\mathbf{s}$

$$|\mathbf{s}| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{m}(s_i)|s_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |t_i|.$$

For a tree $t \in \mathbb{T}$, a vertex $v \in V(t)$, and a forest $\mathbf{s}$, let $t \cup_v \mathbf{s}$ be the rooted tree (unique up to isomorphism) created by joining each tree in $\mathbf{s}$ to the vertex v via a new edge. Using this notation, we define $\bigcup \mathbf{s} = \bullet \cup_\bullet \mathbf{s}$; that is, if $\mathbf{s} = \{\!\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}\!\}$, then $\bigcup \mathbf{s}$ is the rooted tree created by joining a fixed vertex v_0 to the root of each t_i via a new edge and declaring $\mathsf{rt}(\bigcup \mathbf{s}) = v_0$:

(2.2)



Let $t \in \mathbb{T}$. If $t = \bigcup \{\!\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}\!\}$ for some forest $\{\!\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}\!\}$, then we refer to $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ as the *components* of t and we define the forest of components $\mathbf{c}(t)$ by $\mathbf{c}(t) = \{\!\{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}\!\}$.

2.2. **The insertion-elimination algebra.** The *insertion-elimination algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{d\} \cup \{D_t^{\pm} \mid t \in \mathbb{T}\}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[D_s^+, D_t^+] &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}} (\beta(r,s,t) - \beta(r,t,s))\, D_r^+ \\
&= \sum_{v \in V(t)} D^+_{t \cup_v s} - \sum_{v \in V(s)} D^+_{s \cup_v t} \\
[D_s^-, D_t^-] &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}} (\alpha(t,r,s) - \alpha(s,t,r))\, D_r^- \\
[D_s^-, D_t^+] &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}} \alpha(s,t,r) D_r^+ + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}} \beta(s,t,r) D_r^- \\
[D_t^-, D_t^+] &= d \\
[d, D_t^-] &= -|t| D_t^- \\
[d, D_t^+] &= |t| D_t^+,
\end{aligned}$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{T}$. For example, $[D^-_{\bullet}, D^+_{\bullet\bullet}] = 2D^+_{\bullet}$, $[D^-_{\bullet\bullet}, D^+_{\bullet}] = D^-_{\bullet}$ and



Define the following subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_n &= \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [d,x] = nx\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \\
\mathfrak{n}^+ &= \{D_t^+ \mid t \in \mathbb{T}\} = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{g}_n; \\
\mathfrak{n}^- &= \{D_t^- \mid t \in \mathbb{T}\} = \bigoplus_{n<0} \mathfrak{g}_n; \\
\mathfrak{h} &= \mathbb{C}d = \mathfrak{g}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ and the subspaces $\mathfrak{g}_n$ are weight spaces for the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{h}$. Using the anti-involution given in [13], this gives $\mathfrak{g}$ a triangular decomposition in the sense of [10, p. 95]. (The results in this paper do not depend on the anti-involution.)

Recall that for a given (ordered) basis for a Lie algebra, there exists a PBW basis for the universal enveloping algebra and hence for various induced modules. With this in mind, we fix an (arbitrary) ordering $\prec$ on $\mathbb{T}$. Consider a forest $\mathbf{s} = (\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}, \mathsf{m})$, and assume without loss that $s_1 \succ \cdots \succ s_k$. Define

$$D_{\mathbf{s}}^- = (D_{s_1}^-)^{\mathsf{m}(s_k)} \cdots (D_{s_k}^-)^{\mathsf{m}(s_1)},$$

and $D_{\emptyset}^- = 1$. (Equivalently, if we represent a forest as $\mathbf{s} = \{\!\!\{ t_1, \ldots, t_n \}\!\!\}$, then we label the trees in the forest so that $t_1 \succeq \cdots \succeq t_n$, and we regard

$D^-_{\mathbf{s}} = D^-_{t_1} \cdots D^-_{t_n}$.) Then $\{D^-_{\mathbf{s}} \mid \mathbf{s} \text{ a forest}\}$ is basis for $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ by the PBW theorem. Also, note that $U(\mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathbb{C}[d]$; that is, the elements of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ are polynomials in d, and thus we regard $\mathbb{C}[d] \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})$ when convenient.

2.3. **Whittaker modules and categories.** The definitions, notation, and results in this section follow [1].

A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ acts locally finitely on a module V if $U(\mathfrak{n})v$ is finite-dimensional for every $v \in V$. The *Whittaker Category* $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ is the full subcategory of $\mathfrak{g}$-Mod on which the action of $\mathfrak{n}^+$ is locally finite.

Because the insertion-elimination algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a triangular decomposition, the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n}^+)$ is a Whittaker pair in the sense of [1]. In this context, the simple finite-dimensional modules are one-dimensional: they can be written as $\mathbb{C}_\eta$ where $\eta \in (\mathfrak{n}^+/[\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{n}^+])^*$ and $x.1 = \eta(x)$. Moreover, for $\eta \in (\mathfrak{n}^+/[\mathfrak{n}^+, \mathfrak{n}^+])^*$, the set

$$V^\eta = \{v \in V \mid (x - \eta(x))^k v = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{n}^+ \text{ and } k >> 0\}$$

is a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of V, and any module $V \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{W})$ decomposes as $V = \bigoplus_\eta V^\eta$. For modules $V, W \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{W})$, $\mathrm{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(V^\eta, W^\mu) = 0$ unless $\eta = \mu$. Thus, modules V in $\mathcal{W}$ can be understood via their components V^η; or equivalently, $\mathcal{W}$ can be understood via the blocks $\mathcal{W}_\eta$ where $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{W}_\eta) = \{V \in \mathcal{W} \mid V = V^\eta\}$. Given this, it is natural to investigate the *standard Whittaker module* M_η:

$$M_\eta = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\eta \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{W}_\eta).$$

For $V \in \mathcal{W}_\eta$, there is at least one *Whittaker vector* $v \in V$ such that $x.v = \eta(x)v$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$. Therefore, by construction, M_η surjects onto any simple Whittaker module in $\mathcal{W}_\eta$. Thus it follows that if M_η is simple, it is the unique simple object in $\mathcal{W}_\eta$. This paper focuses on conditions that guarantee M_η is simple.

Key to our arguments is the following result.

Proposition 2.3 ([1])**.** *If a module $V \in \mathcal{W}$ contains a unique (up to scalar multiples) Whittaker vector, then V is simple.*

2.4. **Lie algebra homomorphisms $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$.** We investigate the simplicity of M_η in Section 3. Our results depend on the nature of $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$. With this in mind, we introduce several definitions to describe certain classes of Lie algebra homomorphisms $\mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$.

A homomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ has *finite support* if there is some positive integer M such that $\eta(D^+_t) = 0$ whenever $|t| \geq M$. Otherwise, η has *infinite support.* We also define and investigate two generalizations of finite support: depth-bounded homomorphisms and root-bounded homomorphisms. A homomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is *depth-bounded* if there exists a positive integer M such that $\eta(D^+_t) = 0$ whenever $\mathsf{d}(t) \geq M$. A homomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is *root-bounded* if there exists a positive integer M such that $\eta(t) = 0$ whenever $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) \geq M$.

The problem of characterizing all possible Lie algebra homomorphisms η is difficult due to the combinatorics involved in the Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{n}^+$. Clearly, a map η has finite support if and only if it is both depth-bounded and root-bounded. However, it is easy to construct an example of a root-bounded homomorphism with infinite support. Let ℓ_n represent the ladder with n vertices, that is, the unique tree on n vertices made up of a single path. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ choose $\eta_n \in \mathbb{C}$, and define $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\eta(D^+_{\ell_n}) = \eta_n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $\eta(D^+_t) = 0$ whenever t is not a ladder. If $\eta_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many n, then η has infinite support, is not depth-bounded, and is root-bounded. It is an open question if there exist homomorphisms with infinite support that are depth-bounded or homomorphisms with infinite support that are neither depth-bounded nor root-bounded.

3. Simplicity of M_η

In this section, for $\eta \neq 0$, we show that M_η is simple whenever η is depth-bounded (Theorem 3.8) or root-bounded (Theorem 3.18). The corresponding result for the case that η has finite support follows immediately from Theorem 3.8. The basic approach for both results is to show that the space of Whittaker vectors in M_η is one-dimensional, from which it follows that M_η is simple by Proposition 2.3.

We outline the method of proof as follows. We consider an arbitrary basis vector $D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \otimes 1 \notin \mathbb{C}1 \otimes 1$ of M_η (where $D^-_{\mathbf{s}}$ is defined as in Section 2.2) and show that there is some $u \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

(1) $(D^+_u - \eta(D^+_u))D^-_{\mathbf{s}'} p_{\mathbf{s}'}(d) \otimes 1 = 0$ for forests $\mathbf{s}'$ "smaller" than $\mathbf{s}$ (for an ordering that depends on whether η is depth-bounded or root-bounded), and
(2) $(D^+_u - \eta(D^+_u))D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \otimes 1 \neq 0$.

For $v \notin \mathbb{C}1 \otimes 1$, v may be written as

$$v = \sum_{\mathbf{s} \text{ is a forest}} D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \otimes 1, \quad p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \in U(\mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathbb{C}[d]. \tag{3.1}$$

For such a v, there is a "maximal" forest $\mathbf{s}$ such that $p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0$; and so there is a corresponding $u \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $(D^+_u - \eta(D^+_u))v \neq 0$. Thus, v is not a Whittaker vector.

Subsections 3.1 and 3.2 address the particulars of this argument, which depend on whether η is depth-bounded or root-bounded. First we establish some general computations.

The following lemma holds more generally than in the present context, and the proof is straightforward.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $x^+ \in U(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ and $u \in U(\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathbb{C}d)$, and let $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be an algebra homomorphism. Then in M_η,*

$$(x^+ - \eta(x^+))(u \otimes 1) = [x^+, u] \otimes 1.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism, and let M_η be the standard η-Whittaker module. For $p(d) \in \mathbb{C}[d]$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$,*

$$(D_t^+ - \eta(D_t^+))(p(d) \otimes 1) = [D_t^+, p(d)] \otimes 1 = \eta(D_t^+)q(d) \otimes 1,$$

where $q(d) = p(d - |t|) - p(d)$. In particular,

(i) *if $\eta(D_t^+) = 0$, then $[D_t^+, p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$;*
(ii) *if $deg(p(d)) > 0$ and $\eta(D_t^+) \neq 0$, then $(D_t^+ - \eta(D_t^+))(p(d) \otimes 1) \neq 0$.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $D_t^+ p(d) = p(d-|t|)D_t^+$, and therefore $[D_t^+, p(d)] = q(d)D_t^+$ where $q(d) = p(d - |t|) - p(d)$. Since $(D_t^+ - \eta(D_t^+))(p(d) \otimes 1) = [D_t^+, p(d)] \otimes 1$, it then follows that $[D_t^+, p(d)] \otimes 1 = q(d)D_t^+ \otimes 1 = \eta(D_t^+)q(d) \otimes 1$. □

3.1. **The homomorphism η is depth-bounded.** In this section, we show that M_η is simple whenever $\eta \neq 0$ is depth-bounded.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is a non-zero depth-bounded algebra homomorphism. Let $0 < M \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\eta(D_t^+) = 0$ whenever $\mathsf{d}(t) > M$, let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\mathsf{d}(t_0) = M$, and assume $v_0 \in V(t_0)$ has maximal distance from the root of t_0. Suppose $\mathbf{s}_0$ and $\mathbf{s}$ are forests such that*

(1) *$|\mathbf{s}_0| > |\mathbf{s}|$, or*
(2) *$|\mathbf{s}_0| = |\mathbf{s}|$ and $l(\mathbf{s}_0) > l(\mathbf{s})$, or*
(3) *$|\mathbf{s}_0| = |\mathbf{s}|$ and $l(\mathbf{s}_0) = l(\mathbf{s})$ and $\mathbf{s}_0 \neq \mathbf{s}$.*

Then for any polynomial $p(d)$,

$$[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0.$$

Proof. Note that any one of the assumptions (1), (2), or (3) implies that $\mathbf{s}_0$ is nonempty. We proceed by induction on $l(\mathbf{s})$. If $l(\mathbf{s}) = 0$ (i.e. $\mathbf{s}$ is the empty forest), then the result follows from Lemma 3.3 since $\mathsf{d}(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0) > \mathsf{d}(t_0) = M$.

Now suppose that $l(\mathbf{s}) = k > 0$, and write $\mathbf{s} = \{\!\!\{ s_1, \ldots, s_k \}\!\!\}$. For $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \{\!\!\{ s_2, \ldots, s_k \}\!\!\}$ (where $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ may be empty), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0} - \eta(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}))(D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p(d) \otimes 1) &= [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{s_1} D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1 \\ &= [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{s_1}] D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d) \otimes 1 \qquad (3.5) \\ &\quad + D^-_{s_1} [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1. \qquad (3.6)\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\mathbf{s}_0| > |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}|$ and $l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) = k - 1$, we conclude by induction that $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ and thus the term (3.6) is also zero.

In (3.5), we have $|t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0| > |\mathbf{s}_0| \geq |\mathbf{s}| \geq |s_1|$; and therefore $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{s_1}] = -\sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}} \alpha(s_1, t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0, r) D^+_r$, where $\alpha(s_1, t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0, r)$ is the number of edges $e \in E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$ such that $R_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0) = r$ and $P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0) = s_1$. Clearly $\mathsf{d}(r) > M$ whenever $\alpha(s_1, t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0, r) \neq 0$. Therefore $D^+_r D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d) \otimes 1 = [D^+_r, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1$. Thus, to verify that (3.5) is zero, it suffices to show that $[D^+_r, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ whenever $r = R_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$

and $s_1 = P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$. To prove this, we consider the following three cases for the edge e.

i. Suppose $e \in E(t_0) \subseteq E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$. Clearly e cannot belong to the simple path from $\mathsf{rt}(t_0)$ to v_0 as this would force $|P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)| \geq 1 + |\mathbf{s}_0| > |s_1|$. This implies that r has the form $t_0' \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0$, where $t_0' = R_e(t_0)$ and $\mathsf{d}(t_0') = \mathsf{d}(t_0) = M$. By assumption, $|\mathbf{s}_0| \geq |\mathbf{s}|$, so that $|\mathbf{s}_0| > |\mathbf{s}| - |s_1| = |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}|$. Therefore the inductive hypothesis implies $[D^+_{t_0' \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$.

ii. Suppose e is an edge joining v_0 to the root of one of the trees in $\mathbf{s}_0$. In this case, we may write $r = t_0 \cup_{v_0} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0$ is a forest formed from $t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0$ by removing a copy of s_1 from $\mathbf{s}_0$. Note that $|\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0| = |\mathbf{s}_0| - |s_1|$ and $|\tilde{\mathbf{s}}| = |\mathbf{s}| - |s_1|$, and it is straightforward to see that $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ fall under one of assumptions (1)-(3). Therefore it follows from induction that $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$.

iii. Suppose $e \in E(s) \subseteq E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$ for some $s \in \mathbf{s}_0$. In this case, we may write $r = t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0'$, where $\mathbf{s}_0'$ is a forest formed by replacing the tree $s \in \mathbf{s}_0$ with $R_e(s)$ (which is necessarily nonempty). Note that $|\mathbf{s}_0'| = |\mathbf{s}_0| - |s_1|$ and $|\tilde{\mathbf{s}}| = |\mathbf{s}| - |s_1|$, and it is straightforward to show that $\mathbf{s}_0', \mathbf{s}_0$ fall under one of assumptions (1)-(2). Therefore it follows from induction that $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0'}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$.

□

For a nonempty forest $\mathbf{s} = (S, \mathsf{m})$, let

$$\Pi(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathsf{m}(s_i)!,$$

where $|S| = k$. That is, $\Pi(\mathbf{s})$ is the product of the factorials of the multiplicities of the trees in $\mathbf{s}$. We will use the following result in the special case that $\eta(D^+_{t_0}) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is a non-zero depth-bounded algebra homomorphism. Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ be minimal such that $\eta(D^+_t) = 0$ whenever $\mathsf{d}(t) > M$. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\mathsf{d}(t_0) = M$, and assume $v_0 \in V(t_0)$ has maximal distance from $\mathsf{rt}(t_0)$. Then for a nonempty forest $\mathbf{s}_0$ and a polynomial $p(d)$,*

$$[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1 = (-1)^{l(\mathbf{s}_0)} \Pi(\mathbf{s}_0) D^+_{t_0} p(d) \otimes 1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathsf{d}(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0) > M$, we have that $\eta(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}) = 0$ and by Lemma 3.3,

$$[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1 = [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0}] p(d) \otimes 1.$$

We now proceed by induction on $l(\mathbf{s}_0)$. For the base case, let $\mathbf{s}_0 = \{\!\{ s \}\!\}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0}]p(d) \otimes 1 &= [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} s}, D^-_s]p(d) \otimes 1 \\ &= -\sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}} \alpha(s, t_0 \cup_{v_0} s, r) D^+_r p(d) \otimes 1,\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(s, t_0 \cup_{v_0} s, r)$ is the number of edges $e \in E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s)$ such that $R_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s) = r$ and $P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s) = s$. If $e \in E(s) \subseteq E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s)$, we get that $|P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s)| < |s|$ and so $P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s) \neq s$. If $e \in E(t_0) \subseteq E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s)$ is on a simple path from the root of t_0 to v_0, we get that $|P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s)| > |s|$ and so $P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s) \neq s$. For $e \in E(t_0) \subseteq E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} s)$ not on a path from the root of t_0 to v_0, we get that $\mathsf{d}(r) > \mathsf{d}(t_0) = M$ and so $D^+_r p(d) \otimes 1 = 0$. Thus the only possible nonzero terms in the sum correspond to the case that e is the edge of $t_0 \cup_{v_0} s$ joining v_0 to the root of s, and $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} s}, D^-_s] = -D^+_{t_0}$. Then

$$[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} s}, D^-_s]p(d) \otimes 1 = -D^+_{t_0} p(d) \otimes 1 = -\Pi(\{\!\{s\}\!\}) D^+_{t_0} p(d) \otimes 1.$$

This completes the base case.

Now suppose that $k = l(\mathbf{s}_0) \geq 2$. Write $\mathbf{s}_0 = \{\!\{ s_1, \ldots, s_k \}\!\}$and $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0 = \{\!\{ s_2, \ldots, s_k \}\!\} \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1 &= [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{s_1}] D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d) \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + D^-_{s_1} [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1 \\ &= [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{s_1}] D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d) \otimes 1\end{aligned}$$

since $l(\mathbf{s}_0) > l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0)$ and Lemma 3.4 thus implies $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$.

Since $|t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0| > |\mathbf{s}_0| \geq |s_1|$, we have $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{s_1}] = -\sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}} \alpha(s_1, t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0, r) D^+_r$, where $\alpha(s_1, t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0, r)$ is the number of edges $e \in E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$ such that $R_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0) = r$ and $P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0) = s_1$. Since $l(\mathbf{s}_0) > 1$, it follows that $\mathsf{d}(r) > M$ and $\eta(D^+_r) = 0$ whenever $\alpha(s_1, t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0, r) \neq 0$. Therefore, $D^+_r D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d) \otimes 1 = [D^+_r, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1 = [D^+_r, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0}] p(d) \otimes 1$, and we proceed by showing that

$$[D^+_r, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0}] p(d) \otimes 1 = 0$$

whenever $r = R_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$ and $s_1 = P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$, except in the case that e joins the vertex v_0 to a tree in $\mathbf{s}_0$ that is isomorphic to s_1. We consider the following cases for e:

i. Suppose $e \in E(t_0) \subseteq E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$. If e belongs to a simple path from the root of t_0 to v_0, then $|P_e(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)| > |s_1|$, which is impossible. Thus r has the form $t_0' \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0$, where $t_0' = R_e(t_0)$ and $\mathsf{d}(t_0') = \mathsf{d}(t_0)$. Now $\mathsf{d}(r) = \mathsf{d}(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$, so Lemma 3.4 implies $[D^+_r, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ since $l(\mathbf{s}_0) > l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0)$.
ii. Suppose $e \in E(s) \subseteq E(t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0)$ for some $s \in \mathbf{s}_0$ with $P_e(s) = s_1$. In this case, we may write $r = t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0'$, where $\mathbf{s}_0'$ is a forest formed

by replacing some $s \in \mathbf{s}_0$ with $R_e(s)$. Then $|\mathbf{s}_0'| \geq |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0|$ and $l(\mathbf{s}_0') = l(\mathbf{s}_0) > l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0)$, so $[D_r^+, D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ by Lemma 3.4.

iii. Suppose e is an edge joining $v_0 \in V(t_0)$ to s_1 (or a tree isomorphic to s_1 in $\mathbf{s}_0$). Then $r = t_0 \cup_{v_0} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0$, and $\alpha(s_1, t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0, r)$ is exactly the multiplicity m_1 of s_1 in $\mathbf{s}_0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0}] p(d) \otimes 1 &= -\mathsf{m}_1 D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d) \otimes 1 \\ &= -\mathsf{m}_1 [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0} p(d)] \otimes 1.\end{aligned}$$

Now $l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0) < l(\mathbf{s}_0)$ and the multiplicity of s_1 in $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0$ is $\mathsf{m}_1 - 1$, so the result holds by induction.

□

Theorem 3.8. *Let η be a non-zero, depth-bounded homomorphism. Then the space of Whittaker vectors of type η in M_η is one dimensional, and M_η is simple.*

Proof. Let $v \in M_\eta$ with $v \notin \mathbb{C}1 \otimes 1$; we show that v is not a Whittaker vector. Write $v = \sum_{\mathbf{s}} D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \otimes 1$, and note that by Lemma 3.3 we may assume that there exists a forest $\mathbf{s}$ such that $\mathbf{s} \neq \emptyset$ and $p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0$.

Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ be minimal such that $\eta(D_t^+) = 0$ whenever $\mathsf{d}(t) > M$, and let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\mathsf{d}(t_0) = M$ and $\eta(D_{t_0}^+) \neq 0$. Assume $v_0 \in V(t_0)$ has maximal distance from the root of t_0. Define $N = \max\{|\mathbf{s}| \mid p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0\} > 0$ and $\Lambda_N = \{\mathbf{s} \mid p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0, |\mathbf{s}| = N\}$, and choose $\mathbf{s}_0 \in \Lambda_N$ with $l(\mathbf{s}_0)$ maximal among elements of Λ_N. By Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0} - \eta(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0})\right) v &= \sum_{\mathbf{s}} [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p_{\mathbf{s}}(d)] \otimes 1 \\ &= (-1)^{l(\mathbf{s}_0)} \Pi(\mathbf{s}_0) D^+_{t_0} p_{\mathbf{s}_0}(d) \otimes 1.\end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}D^+_{t_0} p_{\mathbf{s}_0}(d) \otimes 1 &= [D^+_{t_0}, p_{\mathbf{s}_0}(d)] \otimes 1 + \eta(D^+_{t_0})(p_{\mathbf{s}_0}(d)) \otimes 1 \\ &= \eta(D^+_{t_0})(q(d) + p_{\mathbf{s}_0}(d)) \otimes 1 \\ &= \eta(D^+_{t_0}) p_{\mathbf{s}_0}(d - |t_0|) \otimes 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0} - \eta(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0}))v = (-1)^{l(\mathbf{s}_0)} \Pi(\mathbf{s}_0) \eta(D^+_{t_0}) p_{\mathbf{s}_0}(d - |t_0|) \otimes 1.$$

In particular, $\left(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0} - \eta(D^+_{t_0 \cup_{v_0} \mathbf{s}_0})\right) v \neq 0$; that is, v is not a Whittaker vector. The rest of result follows from Proposition 2.3. □

Every homomorphism $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ with finite support is clearly depth-bounded, so we also have the following.

Corollary 3.9. *If $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ has finite support and is nonzero, then M_η is simple and has a one-dimensional space of Whittaker vectors.*

3.2. **The homomorphism η is root-bounded.** We now study M_η in the case that $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is root bounded and nonzero. In light of Corollary 3.9, in this section we focus on root-bounded η with infinite support. We first introduce some new notation and present several computational lemmas; the main results, following the argument sketched at the beginning of the section, appear in Lemma 3.17 and Theorem 3.18.

Suppose that η is root-bounded, and let $0 < R \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not necessarily minimal) such that $\eta(D_t^+) = 0$ whenever $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) > R$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, define $\mathcal{S}_n = \{|t| \mid \mathsf{rdeg}(t) = n, \eta(D_t^+) \neq 0\}$. Then let $\omega_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ be defined by

$$\omega_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{S}_n = \emptyset \text{ (i.e. if } \eta(D_t^+) = 0 \text{ whenever } \mathsf{rdeg}(t) = n), \\ M & \text{if } \mathcal{S}_n \neq \emptyset \text{ has a maximal element } M \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \infty & \text{if } \mathcal{S}_n \neq \emptyset \text{ does not contain a maximal element in } \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

For $\omega_n < \infty$, we may regard ω_n as the size of the largest tree t of root degree n such that $\eta(D_t^+) \neq 0$. In particular, $\omega_n = 0$ whenever $n > R$.

If η is root-bounded with infinite support, there is some $n > 0$ such that $\omega_n = \infty$. On the other hand, the set $\{n \mid \omega_n = \infty\}$ is finite since $\omega_n = 0$ for $n > R$. Thus we may define

$$R_\eta = \max\{n \mid \omega_n = \infty\}. \tag{3.10}$$

By definition, we have that ω_n is finite if $n > R_\eta$. Moreover, the set $\{\omega_n \mid n > R_\eta\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is finite, so we may define

$$B_\eta = \max\{\omega_n \mid n > R_\eta\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Thus if $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) > R_\eta$ and $|t| > B_\eta$, it follows that $\eta(D_t^+) = 0$. However, since $\omega_{R_\eta} = \infty$, we can choose $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) = R_\eta$ and $\eta(D_t^+) \neq 0$ such that $|t|$ is arbitrarily large.

Lemma 3.12. *Fix a forest* $\mathbf{s}$ *and a polynomial* $p(d)$*. If* $u \in \mathbb{T}$ *is such that* $\mathsf{rdeg}(u) > R_\eta + l(\mathbf{s})$ *and* $|u| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}|$*, then* $D_u^+ D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d) \otimes 1 = [D_u^+, D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = [D_u^+, D_{\mathbf{s}}^-] p(d) \otimes 1 = 0$.

Proof. Note that $\eta(D_u^+) = 0$ by our choice of u, so $D_u^+ D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d) \otimes 1 = [D_u^+, D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = [D_u^+, D_{\mathbf{s}}^-] p(d) \otimes 1$.

The proof is by induction on $l(\mathbf{s})$. If $l(\mathbf{s}) = 0$, then $\mathbf{s}$ is the empty forest and we have $D_u^+ p(d) \otimes 1 = 0$ by Lemma 3.3. Now assume $l(\mathbf{s}) > 0$. Write $\mathbf{s} = \{\!\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}\!\}$. For $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{s} \setminus \{\!\{s_1\}\!\}$,

$$[D_u^+, D_{\mathbf{s}}^-] p(d) \otimes 1 = [D_u^+, D_{s_1}^-] D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}^- p(d) \otimes 1 + D_{s_1}^- [D_u^+, D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1.$$

Since $l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}) < l(\mathbf{s})$, we have that $[D_u^+, D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ by induction. Also, $|u| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}| \geq |\mathbf{s}| \geq |s_1|$. This implies $[D_u^+, D_{s_1}^-] = -\sum_{u'} \alpha(s_1, u, u') D_{u'}^+$, where $\alpha(s_1, u, u')$ is the number of edges $e \in E(u)$ such that $R_e(u) = u'$ and $P_e(u) = s_1$. For u' such that $\alpha(s_1, u, u') \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathsf{rdeg}(u') \geq \mathsf{rdeg}(u) - 1 > R_\eta + l(\mathbf{s}) - 1 = R_\eta + l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}).$$

Moreover, $|u'| = |u| - |s_1| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}| - |s_1| = B_\eta + |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}|$. It then follows from induction that $D^+_{u'} D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d) \otimes 1 = [D^+_{u'}, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}] p(d) = 0$ whenever $\alpha(s_1, u, u') \neq 0$. Therefore

$$[D^+_u, D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = [D^+_u, D^-_{s_1}] D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d) \otimes 1 = \sum_{u'} \alpha(s_1, u, u') D^+_{u'} D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}} p(d) \otimes 1 = 0,$$

as desired. □

Lemma 3.13. *Assume η is root-bounded with infinite support, and let $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{s}'$ be forests with $l(\mathbf{s}) = l(\mathbf{s}') = k$ and $\mathbf{s}' = \{\!\{s'_1, \ldots, s'_k\}\!\}$. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\mathsf{rdeg}(t_0) = R_\eta$, and $|t_0| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}'|$, and let $p(d) \in \mathbb{C}[d]$. Then for a permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$,*

$$[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t_0)} \mathbf{s}}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}'} p(d)] \otimes 1 = [D^+_{t_0 \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t_0)} \mathbf{s}}, D^-_{s'_{\pi(1)}} D^-_{s'_{\pi(2)}} \cdots D^-_{s'_{\pi(k)}} p(d)] \otimes 1.$$

Proof. Note that

$$D^-_{\mathbf{s}'} = D^-_{s'_{\pi(1)}} D^-_{s'_{\pi(2)}} \cdots D^-_{s'_{\pi(k)}} + \sum_{l(\mathbf{s}'') < k} \gamma_{\mathbf{s}''} D^-_{\mathbf{s}''}$$

for $\gamma_{\mathbf{s}''} \in \mathbb{C}$. By Lemma 3.12, $[D^+_{t_0 \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t_0)} \mathbf{s}}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}''} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ since $l(\mathbf{s}'') < l(\mathbf{s})$. □

Recall that for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $\mathbf{c}(t)$ represents the forest of components of t.

Lemma 3.14. *Assume η is root-bounded with infinite support. Let $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}'$ be forests of k rooted trees, $p(d)$ a polynomial in d, and $t \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) = R_\eta$ and $|t| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}'|$. Let $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{s} \cap \mathbf{s}'$.*

(i) *If $\mathbf{s}' \setminus \mathbf{w} \subseteq \mathbf{c}(t)$, then*

$$[D^+_{t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}'} p(d)] \otimes 1 = \xi \eta(D^+_{u_0}) q(d) \otimes 1,$$

where $0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q(d) = p(d - (|t| + |\mathbf{s}| - |\mathbf{s}'|)) - p(d)$, and

$$u_0 = \bigcup_{r \in (\mathbf{c}(t) \setminus (\mathbf{s}' \setminus \mathbf{w})) \cup (\mathbf{s} \setminus \mathbf{w})} r.$$

In particular, $[D^+_{t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p(d)] \otimes 1 = \xi \eta(D^+_t) q(d) \otimes 1$, where $q(d) = p(d - |t|) - p(d)$.

(ii) *If $\mathbf{s}' \setminus \mathbf{w} \not\subseteq \mathbf{c}(t)$, then $[D^+_{t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}'} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$.*

Proof. Let $u = t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}$. Write $\mathbf{s} = \{\!\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}\!\}$ and $\mathbf{s}' = \{\!\{s'_1, \ldots, s'_k\}\!\}$.

We first reduce to the case $\mathbf{w} = \emptyset$. Suppose $\mathbf{w}$ is nonempty. Using Lemma 3.13, we may assume that $s_1 = s'_1$. Write $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{s} \setminus \{\!\{s_1\}\!\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}' = \mathbf{s}' \setminus \{\!\{s_1\}\!\}$. Using $\eta(D^+_u) = 0$, we have

$$[D^+_u, D^-_{\mathbf{s}'} p(d)] \otimes 1 = [D^+_u, D^-_{s_1}] D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'} p(d) \otimes 1 \tag{3.15}$$

$$+ D^-_{s_1} [D^+_u, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'} p(d)] \otimes 1. \tag{3.16}$$

Since $\mathsf{rdeg}(u) = R_\eta + l(\mathbf{s}) > R_\eta + l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}')$ and $|u| > |t| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}'| > B_\eta + |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'|$, Lemma 3.12 implies that $[D^+_u, D^-_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'} p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ and hence (3.16) is zero.

Now $|u| > |s_1|$ and so $[D_u^+, D_{s_1}^-] = -\sum_{u'} \alpha(s_1, u, u') D_{u'}^+$, where $\alpha(s_1, u, u')$ is the number of edges $e \in E(u)$ such that $R_e(u) = u'$ and $P_e(u) = s_1$. Clearly $\mathsf{rdeg}(u') \geq \mathsf{rdeg}(u) - 1$ whenever $\alpha(s_1, u, u') \neq 0$. Suppose that e is an edge that is not incident on $\mathsf{rt}(t)$. Then $\mathsf{rdeg}(u') = \mathsf{rdeg}(u) = R_\eta + l(\mathbf{s}') > R_\eta + l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}')$ and $|u'| = |u| - |s_1| = |t_0| + |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}'| - |s_1| = B_\eta + |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'|$. In this case, Lemma 3.12 implies that $D_{u'}^+ D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d) \otimes 1 = 0$. Thus

$$[D_u^+, D_{s_1}^-] D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d) \otimes 1 = -\alpha(s_1, u, \tilde{u}) D_{\tilde{u}}^+ D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d) \otimes 1,$$

where $\tilde{u} = t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\alpha(s_1, u, \tilde{u})$ is the sum of the number of components of t isomorphic to s_1 and the number of copies of s_1 in $\mathbf{s}$. In particular, $\alpha(s_1, u, \tilde{u}) \geq 1$. By repeatedly applying this argument, we reduce to the case where $\mathbf{w} = \emptyset$.

We now consider the situation where $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{s}'$ share no common elements. We proceed by induction on $k = l(\mathbf{s}) = l(\mathbf{s}')$, noting that the case $k = 0$ follows from Lemma 3.3. Therefore, suppose $k > 0$. Using the same arguments as the first part of the proof, we have

$$[D_u^+, D_{\mathbf{s}'}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = -\sum_{u'} \alpha(s_1', u, u') D_{u'}^+ D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d) \otimes 1,$$

where $\alpha(s_1', u, u')$ is the number of edges $e \in E(u)$ such that $R_e(u) = u'$ and $P_e(u) = s_1'$. Note that if e is not incident on $\mathsf{rt}(t)$, then $\mathsf{rdeg}(u') = \mathsf{rdeg}(t) + l(\mathbf{s}) > R_\eta + l(\tilde{\mathbf{s}}')$ and

$$|u'| = |u| - |s_1'| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}| + |\mathbf{s}'| - |s_1'| = B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'|.$$

In this case, Lemma 3.12 implies that $D_{u'}^+ D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d) \otimes 1 = 0$.

Now it remains to consider $u' = R_e(u)$ where e is incident on $\mathsf{rt}(t)$. Since $\mathbf{s}' \cap \mathbf{s} = \emptyset$, it follows that $\alpha(s_1', u, u') \neq 0$ only if t contains a component isomorphic to s_1' and e is an edge connecting such a component of t to the root $\mathsf{rt}(t)$. Write $\mathbf{c}(t) = \{\!\{t_1, \ldots, t_{R_\eta}\}\!\}$ and assume (without loss) that $s_1' \cong t_1$, so that $u' = \tilde{t} \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(\tilde{t})} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}$, where $\tilde{t}$ is the rooted tree formed from t by replacing the component t_1 with s_1. We now have

$$D_{u'}^+ D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d) \otimes 1 = D^+_{\tilde{t} \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(\tilde{t})} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}} D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d) \otimes 1 = [D^+_{\tilde{t} \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(\tilde{t})} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}}, D_{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}^- p(d)] \otimes 1,$$

where $\mathsf{rdeg}(\tilde{t}) = R_\eta$, $|\tilde{t}| > B_\eta + |\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'|$. The result now follows by induction. $\square$

We require some new notation for Lemma 3.17 and Theorem 3.18. Consider a forest $\mathbf{s} = (S, \mathsf{m})$. Define $\iota(\mathbf{s}) = |S|$ and write $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_{\iota(\mathbf{s})}\}$ where we assume that $\mathsf{m}(s_1) \geq \mathsf{m}(s_2) \geq \cdots \geq \mathsf{m}(s_{\iota(\mathbf{s})})$. This defines a partition $\lambda(\mathbf{s}) = (\mathsf{m}(s_1), \ldots, \mathsf{m}(s_{\iota(\mathbf{s})}))$ of the integer $l(\mathbf{s})$. We view partitions as comparable via the standard lexicographic order $\leq$. Note that different forests can give rise to the same partition. However, for a forest $\mathbf{s}' = (S', \mathsf{m}')$, if $\mathbf{s} \neq \mathbf{s}'$ and $\lambda(\mathbf{s}) \geq \lambda(\mathbf{s}')$, then there must exist j such that $\mathsf{m}(s_j) > \mathsf{m}'(s_j)$ and $\mathsf{m}(s_i) = \mathsf{m}'(s_i)$ for $i < j$.

Now consider a vector $v = \sum_{\mathbf{s}} D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \otimes 1 \in M_\eta$, and a fixed forest $\mathbf{s}_0 = (S_0, \mathsf{m}_0)$, where $S_0 = \{s_1, \dots, s_{\iota(\mathbf{s}_0)}\}$. Define

$$\Lambda(v) = \{t \in \mathbb{T} \mid \mathsf{rdeg}(t) \geq R_\eta,\ \eta(D_t^+) \neq 0,\ |t| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}| \text{ for all } p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0\}$$
$$\Lambda_1^{\mathbf{s}_0}(v) = \{t \in \Lambda(v) \mid \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(t)}(s_1) \geq \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(t')}(s_1) \text{ for all } t' \in \Lambda(v)\},$$
$$\Lambda_i^{\mathbf{s}_0}(v) = \{t \in \Lambda_{i-1}^{\mathbf{s}_0}(v) \mid \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(t)}(s_i) \geq \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(t')}(s_i) \text{ for } t' \in \Lambda_{i-1}^{\mathbf{s}_0}(v)\},\ 1 < i \leq \iota(\mathbf{s}_0),$$

where $\mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(t)}$ is the multiplicity function associated with the forest $\mathbf{c}(t)$. Note that the condition $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) \geq R_\eta$ in the definition of $\Lambda(v)$ is equivalent to the condition $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) = R_\eta$, and $\Lambda(v) \neq \emptyset$ since η has infinite support.

Lemma 3.17. *Assume η is root-bounded with infinite support. Let $\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{s}$ be forests such that $\mathbf{s}_0 \neq \mathbf{s}$, $l(\mathbf{s}_0) = l(\mathbf{s})$, $|\mathbf{s}_0| \geq |\mathbf{s}|$, and $\lambda(\mathbf{s}_0) \geq \lambda(\mathbf{s})$; and let $p(d) \in \mathbb{C}[d]$. Then for $v = D_{\mathbf{s}}^+ p(d) \otimes 1$ and $t \in \Lambda_{\iota(\mathbf{s}_0)}^{\mathbf{s}_0}(v)$,*

$$[D^+_{t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}_0}, D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0.$$

Proof. Write $\mathbf{s}_0 = (S_0, \mathsf{m}_0)$, $\mathbf{s} = (S, \mathsf{m})$, and $S_0 = \{s_1, \dots, s_{\iota(\mathbf{s}_0)}\}$, with $\mathsf{m}_0(s_1) \geq \cdots \geq \mathsf{m}_0(s_{\iota(\mathbf{s}_0)})$ as in (2.1). Because $\lambda(\mathbf{s}_0) \geq \lambda(\mathbf{s})$, there exists j such that $\mathsf{m}_0(s_i) = \mathsf{m}(s_i)$ for $i < j$ and $\mathsf{m}_0(s_j) > \mathsf{m}(s_j)$. Thus by Lemma 3.14, either $[D^+_{t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}_0}, D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = 0$ or $[D^+_{t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}_0}, D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = \xi \eta(D_u^+) q(d) \otimes 1$, where $0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q(d) \in \mathbb{C}[d]$, and $u \in \mathbb{T}$ has the property that

$$\mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(u)}(s_i) = \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(t)}(s_i) \text{ for } i < j \text{ and } \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(u)}(s_j) > \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(t)}(s_j)$$

for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $|u| = |t| + |\mathbf{s}_0| - |\mathbf{s}| > B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}| + |\mathbf{s}_0| - |\mathbf{s}| = B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}_0| \geq B_\eta + |\mathbf{s}|$ and $\mathsf{rdeg}(u) \geq \mathsf{rdeg}(t)$. If $\eta(D_u^+) \neq 0$, then $u \in \Lambda_{j-1}^{\mathbf{s}_0}(v)$ and thus $\mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(u)}(s_j) > \mathsf{m}_{\mathbf{c}(u)}(s_j)$ contradicts $t \in \Lambda_j^{\mathbf{s}_0}(v)$. Therefore it must be that $\eta(D_u^+) = 0$, and consequently $[D^+_{t \cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)} \mathbf{s}_0}, D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p(d)] \otimes 1 = \xi \eta(D_u^+) q(d) \otimes 1 = 0$. □

Theorem 3.18. *Let η be a non-zero, root-bounded homomorphism. Then the space of Whittaker vectors of type η in M_η is one dimensional, and M_η is simple.*

Proof. Given Corollary 3.9, it is enough to prove the result in the case that η has infinite support.

Let $v \in M_\eta \setminus \mathbb{C}1 \otimes 1$; we show that v is not a Whittaker vector. Write $v = \sum_{\mathbf{s}} D_{\mathbf{s}}^- p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \otimes 1$, and note that by Lemma 3.3 we may assume that there exists at least one nonempty forest $\mathbf{s}$ with $p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be maximal such that $k = l(\mathbf{s})$ for some $\mathbf{s}$ with $p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0$, and let $M = \max\{|\mathbf{s}| \mid p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0,\ l(\mathbf{s}) = k\}$. Now choose $\mathbf{s}_0$ such that $\lambda(\mathbf{s_0})$ is maximal (under the lexicographic ordering) among all forests in

$$\{\mathbf{s} \mid p_{\mathbf{s}}(d) \neq 0,\ l(\mathbf{s}) = k,\ |\mathbf{s}| = M\}.$$

Let $t \in \Lambda^{\mathbf{s_0}}_{\iota(\mathbf{s_0})}(v)$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0} - \eta(D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0})\right) v &= \sum_{\mathbf{s}} [D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p_{\mathbf{s}}(d)] \otimes 1 \\ &= [D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0} p_{\mathbf{s_0}}(d)] \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{l(\mathbf{s})=l(\mathbf{s_0}),|\mathbf{s}_0|\geq|\mathbf{s}|,\mathbf{s}\neq\mathbf{s}_0} [D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p_{\mathbf{s}}(d)] \otimes 1 \qquad (3.19) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l(\mathbf{s})<l(\mathbf{s_0})} [D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}} p_{\mathbf{s}}(d)] \otimes 1 \qquad (3.20) \\ &= [D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0} p_{\mathbf{s_0}}(d)] \otimes 1,\end{aligned}$$

where (3.19) is zero by Lemma 3.17 and (3.20) is zero by Lemma 3.12 since $\mathsf{rdeg}(t) \geq R_\eta$. Lemma 3.14 now implies

$$\begin{aligned}\left(D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0} - \eta(D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0})\right) v &= [D^+_{t\cup_{\mathsf{rt}(t)}\mathbf{s}_0}, D^-_{\mathbf{s}_0} p_{\mathbf{s_0}}(d)] \otimes 1 \\ &= \xi\eta(D^+_t)(p_{\mathbf{s_0}}(d-|t|) - p(d)) \otimes 1 \quad (0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{C}) \\ &\neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore v is not a Whittaker vector, and so the Whittaker vectors of M_η are precisely the elements of $\mathbb{C}1 \otimes 1$ as desired. □

3.3. **The zero homomorphism.** We also briefly consider the zero homomorphism: $\mathbf{0} : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ where $\mathbf{0}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$. Lemma 3.3 implies that, for any $0 \neq p(d) \in \mathbb{C}[d]$, the vector $p(d) \otimes 1$ generates a proper submodule of $M_{\mathbf{0}}$. In particular, if $p(d) = d - \xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, then $M_{\mathbf{0}}/(U(\mathfrak{g})p(d) \otimes 1)$ is isomorphic to the Verma module $M(\xi)$ of highest weight ξ. Thus, the simple highest weight modules $L(\xi)$ are quotients of $M_{\mathbf{0}}$ as well. (Though it is shown in Theorem 3.2 of [15] that $M(\xi)$ is usually simple, it is not generally known for which ξ the module $M(\xi)$ is simple.) Moreover, it follows from the arguments found in [12] that any simple object in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{0}}$ (see notation in Section 2.3) is isomorphic to $L(\xi)$.

4. Connection to Verma modules and central characters

In this section, we discuss connections between Whittaker modules and central characters. Corollary 4.5 uses the existence of a simple standard Whittaker module for the insertion-elimination Lie algebra to imply that all Verma modules admit the same central character.

Since it poses no additional difficulties, we begin by working in a more general context than that of the insertion-elimination Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ denote a Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition as in [10], and let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, define (as in Section 4.2 of [1] and Section 2.3 of [10]) the highest weight Verma module $M(\mu)$ by

$$M(\mu) := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{n}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\mu,$$

where $\mathbb{C}_\mu$ is the 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$-module given by $(h+n).1 = \mu(h)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $n \in \mathfrak{n}^+$. The lowest-weight Verma module $N(\mu) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h})} \mathbb{C}_\mu$ is defined similarly. We continue to let M_η denote the standard Whittaker module corresponding to $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$.

Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. We say that a $\mathfrak{g}$-module V admits a central character $\chi : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$ if we have $zv = \chi(z)v$ for every $z \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v \in V$. For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, it is a standard result that the Verma module $M(\mu)$ admits a central character, and we let

$$\chi_\mu : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$$

denote the central character corresponding to $M(\mu)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ be a Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition. For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the module $N(-\mu)^*$ has central character χ_μ.*

Proof. Since $N(-\mu)$ is a lowest weight module, it must admit a central character, and therefore $N(-\mu)^*$ must also admit a central character χ. Let f be the unique element of $N(-\mu)^*$ sending $1 \otimes 1$ to 1 and sending every other weight space to 0. It is clear that f is a highest weight vector of weight μ. Therefore $U(\mathfrak{g})f \subseteq N(-\mu)^*$ is a highest weight module of highest weight μ and must admit the central character χ_μ. But $U(\mathfrak{g})f$ is a submodule of $N(-\mu)^*$, which has a central character χ, so it follows that $\chi = \chi_\mu$. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ be a Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition. Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, and let χ_μ be the central character corresponding to the Verma module $M(\mu)$. If $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is such that M_η is simple, then M_η admits the central character χ_μ*

Proof. By a generalization of Schur's Lemma (Cf. [14, Ex. 2.12.28]), the simple module M_η must admit a central character $\xi_\eta : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$. For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the module $N(-\mu)^*$ has a one-dimensional space of Whittaker vectors of type η (see Lemma 37 of [1]), and thus there is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module homomorphism

$$\varphi : M_\eta \to N(-\mu)^*.$$

As M_η is simple, φ is necessarily injective. Now the image of φ is a submodule of $N(-\mu)^*$, which admits the central character χ_μ, so $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ must act by χ_μ on the image of φ. Then for all $v \in M_\eta$ and $z \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$\xi_\eta(z)\varphi(v) = \varphi(\xi_\eta(z)v)) = \varphi(zv) = z\varphi(v) = \chi_\mu(z)\varphi(v),$$

and this shows that $\xi_\eta = \chi_\mu$ as long as M_η is simple. □

Corollary 4.3. *Assume $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ has a triangular decomposition. If there exists $\eta : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the standard Whittaker module M_η is simple, then every Verma module admits the same central character.*

Proof. If ξ_η is the central character admitted by M_η, then for $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, Proposition 4.2 implies that $\chi_{\mu_1} = \xi_\eta = \chi_{\mu_2}$, as desired. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ be a Lie algebra with a triangular decomposition. Then any two simple standard Whittaker modules admit the same central character.*

Proof. If M_{η_1} and M_{η_2} are simple, for $\eta_1 : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\eta_2 : \mathfrak{n}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$, then for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, Proposition 4.2 implies both M_{η_1} and M_{η_2} have the central character χ_μ. □

Since the standard Whittaker module M_η for the insertion-elimination Lie algebra is simple whenever η has finite support or is root bounded, we conclude the following, which suggests that $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}1$ for the insertion-elimination Lie algebra.

Corollary 4.5. *Every Verma module for the insertion-elimination Lie algebra admits the same central character.*

References

[1] P. Batra and V. Mazorchuk, *Blocks and modules for Whittaker pairs*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. **215** (2011), 1552-1568.

[2] K. Christodoulopoulou, *Whittaker modules for Heisenberg algebras and imaginary Whittaker modules for affine lie algebras*, J. Algebra. **320** (2008),2871–2890.

[3] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, *Insertion and elimination: the doubly infinite Lie algebra of Feynman graphs*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, **3**, no. 3 (2002) 411–433.

[4] L. Foissy, *Finite dimensional comodules over the Hopf algebra of rooted trees*, J. Algebra, **255** (2002), 89-120.

[5] M. Hoffman, *Combinatorics of rooted trees and Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **355** (2003), 3795–3811.

[6] B. Kostant, *On Whittaker vectors and representation theory*, Invent. Math. **48** (1978), 101–184.

[7] D. Kreimer, I. Mencattini, *Insertion and elimination Lie algebra: the Ladder case*, Lett. Math. Phys. 67(1), 61–74 (2004).

[8] D. Kreimer, I. Mencattini, *The structure of the Ladder insertion-elimination Lie algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **259**, 413–432 (2005).

[9] O. Mathieu, *Classification of simple graded Lie algebras of finite growth*, Invent. Math., 108 (1992), 455-519.

[10] R. Moody and A. Pianzola. Lie algebras with triangular decompositions. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1995.

[11] M. Ondrus and E. Wiesner, *Whittaker modules for the Virasoro algebra*, J. Algebra Appl., **8** (2009), no. 3, 363 - 377.

[12] M. Ondrus and E. Wiesner, *Whittaker categories for the Virasoro algebra*, Comm. Algebra, **41** (2013), no. 10, 3910-3930.

[13] M. Ondrus and E. Wiesner, *In Preparation.*

[14] L. H. Rowen, *Ring Theory I*, (Academic Press, 1988).

[15] M. Szczesny, *On the structure and representations of the insertion-elimination Lie algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys. (2008).

[16] X. Zhang, S. Tan, H. Lian. *Whittaker modules for the Schrodinger-Witt algebra*, J. Math. Phys. **51** (2010), no. 8, 083524–083541.

Mathematics Department, Weber State University, Department of Mathematics, 1415 Edvalson St., Dept. 2517, Ogden, UT 84408-2517 USA, *E-mail address*: mattondrus@weber.edu

Department of Mathematics, Ithaca College, Williams Hall Ithaca, NY 14850, USA, *E-mail address*: ewiesner@ithaca.edu